## UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL on MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE LITIGATION

MDL No. 2804

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

## **CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO -67)**

On December 5, 2017, the Panel transferred 62 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. See 290 F.Supp.3d 1375 (J.P.M.L. 2017). Since that time, 1,128 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Northern District of Ohio. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern District of Ohio and assigned to Judge Polster.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the <u>Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation</u>, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Northern District of Ohio for the reasons stated in the order of December 5, 2017, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7—day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.

Nov 27, 2018

CLERK'S OFFICE
UNITED STATES
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MILITARY AND ADMINISTRATION

FOR THE PANEL:

Jeffery N. Lüthi Clerk of the Panel

## IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE LITIGATION

MDL No. 2804

## SCHEDULE CTO-67 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

<u>DIST</u>	DIV.	<u>C.A.NO.</u>	CASE CAPTION		
ALASKA					
AK	3	18-00260	Tanana Chiefs Conference et al v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al		
GEORGIA NORTHERN					
GAN	3	18-00131	Carroll County v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al		
IDAHO					
ID	1	18-00479	Canyon County v. Purdue Pharma LP et al		
KENTUCKY WESTERN					
KYW	1	18-00158	Green County Fiscal Court v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al		
KYW	3	18-00714	Breckinridge County Fiscal Court v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al		
KYW	3	18-00715	Hardin County Fiscal Court v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al		
KYW	3	18-00716	Meade County Fiscal Court v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al		
KYW	4	18-00169	City of Henderson Kentucky v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al		
MISSISSIPPI NORTHERN					
MSN	4	18-00212	County of Grenada v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al		
MISSOURI EASTERN					
MOE	4	18-01882	City of St. Louis v. Purdue Pharma, L.P. et al		
NORTH CAROLINA EASTERN					
NCE	5	18-00517	Wilk v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al		

Case: 1:17-md-02804-DAP Doc #: 1152 Filed: 11/27/18 3 of 3. PageID #: 28496

OREGON

OR 3 18–01911 Reynolds v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al

UTAH

UT 2 18–00863 Carbon County v. Purdue Pharma LP et al

WEST VIRGINIA SOUTHERN

<b>WVS</b>	2	<del>18-01390</del>	Riling et al v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al Opposed 11/26/18
WVS	2	18-01392	The Town of Gauley Bridge, West Virginia v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al